Einstein-(complex)-Maxwell static boson stars in AdS

Carlos Herdeiro¹, Hyat Huang^{2,3}, Jutta Kunz² and Eugen Radu¹

¹Departamento de Matemática da Universidade de Aveiro and

Centre for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA),

Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal

²Institute of Physics, Carl von Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Germany Oldenburg D-26111, Germany ³College of Physics and Communication Electronics, Jiangxi Normal University, Nanchang 330022, China

Abstract

We consider a model with two real Maxwell fields (or equivalently, a complex Maxwell field) minimally coupled to Einstein's gravity with a negative cosmological constant in four spacetime dimensions. Assuming a specific harmonic dependence of the vector fields, we show the existence of asymptotically anti-de Sitter (AdS) self-gravitating boson-star–like solitonic solutions, which are static and axially symmetric. Analytical solutions are found in the test-field limit, where the Maxwell equations are solved on a fixed AdS background. The fully nonlinear solutions are constructed numerically.

herdeiro@ua.pt hyat@mail.bnu.edu.cn jutta.kunz@uni-oldenburg.de eugen.radu@ua.pt

1 Introduction

Spacetimes which asymptotically behave as anti-de Sitter (AdS) have attracted significant interest since the proposal of the AdS/conformal field theory duality [1], which asserts that a theory of quantum gravity in D-dimensions has a dual formulation in terms of a nongravitational theory in (D - 1)-dimensions. Moreover, and independently of this, being a maximally symmetric geometry, the AdS geometry provides a useful background to investigate questions of principle related to the behaviour of classical or quantum fields on a non-trivial background, as well as, for instance, the status of the black hole no hair conjecture [2] when relaxing the assumption of asymptotic flatness.

The peculiar AdS asymptotics indeed allow new possibilities. For example, as shown in Refs. [3–6], electrostatics on D = 4 global AdS has some very different features from standard electrostatics on Minkowski spacetime. A striking illustration is that all multipole moments (except for the monopole) possess everywhere regular, finite energy configurations, defined by their multipole structure at the AdS boundary. Their non-linear backreacting versions yield Einstein-Maxwell-AdS solitons, which inherit the spatial symmetries of the boundary data. Moreover, introducing a horizon yields a static black hole [4], which, for appropriate boundary multipoles, has no continuous spatial symmetries [6].

The study in Refs. [3]- [6] was restricted to the case of a single Maxwell field, with no time dependence. In this work we enlarge the framework therein by considering a model with two Maxwell fields, which are endowed with a harmonic time dependence. However, the time dependence disappears at the level of the total energy momentum tensor, such that the considered configurations are static. As such, the solutions resemble the well-known (scalar or vector) boson stars (BSs) [7]. This can be understood by noticing that the two Maxwell fields can be taken as a (single) complex vector field, the considered model corresponding to the massless limit of the Einstein-Proca-AdS theory. The spherically symmetric asymptotically AdS solutions of a model with a complex massive spin-1 field minimally coupled to Einstein's gravity with negative cosmological constant were studied in Ref. [8]. These AdS Proca stars share very similar properties to the spin zero AdS boson stars [9, 10], e.g. the dependence of their global charges on the field frequency.

In this work we construct and discuss the basic properties of the simplest BS-like solutions of the D = 4 Einstein-(complex)-Maxwell (EcM) model with a negative cosmological constant. Our results show that, despite the harmonic time dependence of the vector potential in both cases, the configurations here exhibit a rather different picture as compared to that found in Ref. [8] for AdS massive vector fields. For example, no everywhere regular spherically symmetric solitons exist in the EcM case, while the solutions possess a non-trivial zero-frequency limit.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the EcM model. In Section 3 we discuss the (everywhere regular) solitonic solutions on a fixed AdS background (dubbed *clouds*) for a specific ansatz with a magnetic potential possessing a harmonic time dependence. Then, in Section 4 the backreaction on AdS of a particular class of clouds is considered. We construct, both perturbatively (analytically) and non-perturbatively (numerically) the corresponding EcM-AdS solitons. In Section 5 we draw our conclusions and further remarks.

2 The EcM model with negative cosmological constant

We consider the (four dimensional) Einstein gravity with negative cosmological constant $\Lambda = -3/L^2$ coupled with two real Maxwell fields

$$S = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(R + \frac{6}{L^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} F^{(1)}_{\mu\nu} F^{(1)\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} F^{(2)}_{\mu\nu} F^{(2)\mu\nu} \right],\tag{1}$$

where $F^{(a)} = dA^{(a)}, a = 1, 2.$

After defining

$$\mathcal{F} = F^{(1)} + iF^{(2)},\tag{2}$$

one can write an equivalent form of (1), with a matter content corresponding to a *complex* massless vector field \mathcal{F} :

$$\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{4\pi G} \int d^4 x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{1}{4} \left(R + \frac{6}{L^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{\bar{\mu}\nu} \right],\tag{3}$$

with $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} = A^{(1)} + iA^{(2)}$, while an overbar denotes the complex conjugate.

For this formulation, the Einstein equations are

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{3}{L^2}g_{\alpha\beta} = 2 T_{\alpha\beta} , \qquad (4)$$

with the energy-momentum tensor

$$T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{F}_{\alpha\sigma} \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\beta\gamma} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{\alpha\sigma} \mathcal{F}_{\beta\gamma}) g^{\sigma\gamma} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\sigma\tau} \bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\sigma\tau} .$$
(5)

Also, the (complex) four-potential \mathcal{A} satisfies the equations

$$\nabla_{\mu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0. \tag{6}$$

Let us remark that the action (3) can be taken as the zero field mass limit of the Einsteincomplex-Proca-AdS system. As with that case, the model possess a global U(1) invariance under the transformation $\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \to e^{i\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ (with α a constant), which implies the existence of a conserved 4-current,

$$j^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2} \left[\bar{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha\beta} \mathcal{A}_{\beta} - \mathcal{F}^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\beta} \right], \qquad (7)$$

with $\nabla_{\alpha} j^{\alpha} = 0$. Consequently, even for a massless field \mathcal{A} there exists a Noether charge Q (the particle number), obtained integrating the temporal component of the 4-current on a space-like slice Σ :

$$Q = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \,\sqrt{-g} \,j^t \,. \tag{8}$$

However, different from the Proca case, the model (3) is invariant also under the *local* transformation $\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\beta(x^{\gamma})$, with β a complex function of spacetime coordinates. As discussed in Ref. [8], the Einstein-Proca model with negative cosmological constant possesses (spherically symmetric) solitonic solutions. This brings up the question if such solutions exist as well when taking the limit of a massless complex vector field, keeping the harmonic time dependence. However, once can prove that this is not the case when considering spherically symmetric configurations¹; in particular, the Proca stars in Ref. [8] trivialize for a massless vector field. However, this does not exclude the existence of static, everywhere regular nonsymmetric configurations, as proven in the next Sections.

3 The probe limit: a massless complex magnetic vector field on global AdS_4

As a first step towards solving the full set of EcM equations, we shall consider a simplified version of the model where we ignore the Maxwell field backreaction on the spacetime geometry. That is, one solves the vector field equations (6) on a fixed global AdS_4 background with a line element

$$ds^{2} = -N(r)dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{N(r)} + r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}) , \text{ and } N(r) = 1 + \frac{r^{2}}{L^{2}} , \qquad (9)$$

where r and t are the radial and time coordinates, while θ, φ are the usual angular variables on S^2). The aim is to look for *vector clouds*, *i.e.* configurations which are regular everywhere and display no time-dependence at the level of the total energy-momentum tensor (5).

Restricting to axial symmetry, the simplest complex vector ansatz has a purely magnetic potential, with²

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu} dx^{\mu} = \Phi(r, \theta) e^{-i\omega t} d\varphi, \tag{10}$$

with $\omega > 0$ the frequency and Φ the (real) field amplitude. This results in the following nonvanishing components of the field strength tensor $\mathcal{F}_{r\varphi} = -\mathcal{F}_{\varphi r} = \Phi_{,r}e^{-i\omega t}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\theta\varphi} = -\mathcal{F}_{\varphi\theta} = \Phi_{,\theta}e^{-i\omega t}$, and $\mathcal{F}_{\varphi t} = -\mathcal{F}_{t\varphi} = i\omega\Phi e^{-i\omega t}$.

As with the usual Proca stars, the energy-momentum tensor possesses no time dependence. The energy and the Noether charge densities of these configurations are

$$\rho = -T_t^t = \frac{1}{2r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \left(N\Phi_{,r}^2 + \frac{\Phi_{,\theta}^2}{r^2} + \frac{\omega^2 \Phi^2}{N} \right), \quad j^t = \frac{\omega \Phi^2}{r^2 N \sin^2 \theta} .$$
(11)

From (6), the equation for the magnetic potential amplitude Φ reads:

$$\Phi_{,rr} + \frac{\Phi_{,\theta\theta}}{r^2 N s} + \frac{N'\Phi_{,r}}{r^2 N} - \frac{\cot\theta\Phi_{,\theta}}{r^2 N} + \frac{\omega^2 \Phi}{N^2} = 0 .$$

$$\tag{12}$$

¹This can be proven by considering the usual ansatz for spherically symmetric configurations [11], with a vector potential $\mathcal{A} = [f(r)dt + ig(r)dr] e^{-i\omega t}$, and a line element $ds^2 = g_{tt}(r)dt^2 + g_{rr}(r)dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2)$, with $g_{rr}(r), g_{tt}(r); f(r), g(r)$ real functions of the radial coordinate r and ω the real frequency parameter. As such, the only non-zero components of the field strength tensor are $\mathcal{F}_{rt} = -\mathcal{F}_{rt} = (f'(r) - \omega g(r))e^{-i\omega t}$. However, they are identically zero, as implied by the field equation $\nabla_{\alpha} \mathcal{F}^{\alpha r} = 0$.

²The same ansatz in terms of the real potentials $A^{(a)}$ reads $A^{(1)} = \Phi(r, \theta) \cos \omega t$, $A^{(2)} = \Phi(r, \theta) \sin \omega t$, which corresponds two waves with a $\pi/2$ phase difference.

We assume separation of variables, such that Φ can be written as a sum of modes,

$$\Phi(r,\theta) = \sum_{k\geq 1} s_k R_k(r) U_k(\theta) , \quad \text{where} \quad U_k(\theta) = \sin \theta \frac{d\mathcal{P}_k(\cos \theta)}{d\theta} , \qquad (13)$$

 \mathcal{P}_k being a Legendre polynomial of degree k. It follows that radial amplitude $R_k(r)$ of a mode k solves the equation

$$N(NR'_k)' + \left(\omega^2 - \frac{k(k+1)N}{r^2}\right)R_k = 0.$$
(14)

The solution of the above equation which is regular everywhere (in particular at r = 0) reads:

$$R_k(r) = c_0 \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{1+k} \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{L^2}\right)^{\frac{L\omega}{2}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+k+L\omega), \frac{1}{2}(2+k+L\omega), \frac{3}{2}+k, -\frac{r^2}{L^2}\right), \quad (15)$$

with $_2F_1$ the hypergeometric function, where c_0 is an arbitrary (nonzero) constant. Note that the (magnetic) Maxwell clouds reported in a larger context in Ref. [5] are recovered in the $\omega \to 0$ limit. As with that case, the radial amplitude *does not* generically vanishes as $r \to \infty$, approaching a constant value which in our case is a function of ω and L. A natural choice for c_0 is to impose $R_k(r) \to 1$ as $r \to \infty$, which results in

$$c_0 = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2+k-L\omega))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2+k+L\omega))}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+k)}.$$
(16)

For completeness, we display the simplified form of the first two radial amplitudes,

$$R_{1}(r) = \frac{1}{\cos\left(\frac{\pi L\omega}{2}\right)} \left(\cos\left[L\omega \arctan\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)\right] - \frac{1}{\omega r} \sin\left[L\omega \arctan\left(\frac{r}{L}\right)\right] \right),$$

$$R_{2}(r) = \frac{1}{(1 - L^{2}\omega^{2})^{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi L\omega}{2}\right)} \sqrt{(1 - L^{2}\omega^{2})^{2} + \frac{3L^{2}(2 + L^{2}\omega^{2})}{r^{2}} + \frac{9L^{4}}{r^{4}}}$$

$$\times \sin\left(L\omega \arctan\left(\frac{r}{L}\right) + \arctan\left[\frac{r\omega}{(L^{2}\omega^{2} - 1)\frac{r^{2}}{3L^{2}} - 1}\right]\right).$$
(17)

As $r \to 0$, the generic solutions behave as

$$R_k(r) = u_0^{(k)} \left(\frac{r}{L}\right)^{k+1} + \dots, \text{ with } u_0^{(k)} = \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2+k-L\omega))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2+k+L\omega))}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+k)}$$
(18)

while asymptotically

$$R_k(r) = 1 - \mathfrak{m}^{(k)} \frac{L}{r} + \dots, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{m}^{(k)} = \frac{2\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2+k-L\omega))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(2+k+L\omega))}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1+k-L\omega))\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}(1+k+L\omega))} . \tag{19}$$



Figure 1: Left: The profile of k = 1 solutions with n = 0, ... 3 nodes is shown as a function of compactified radial coordinate. Right: The mass and Noether charge is shown as a function of frequency for k = 1 solutions.

The energy density ρ of the solutions is finite everywhere and strongly localized in a finite region of space, with ρ nonzero at $\theta = 0, \pi$, and decaying as $1/r^4$ for large r. The total mass-energy and Noether charge of solutions are

$$M = -2\pi \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta \ T_t^t, \quad Q_N = 2\pi \int_0^\infty r^2 dr \int_0^\pi d\theta \sin\theta \ j^t , \qquad (20)$$

with the following expressions for the first two values of k:

$$M_{(1)} = \frac{2\pi^2}{3L\cos^2\frac{\pi L\omega}{2}} \left(L^2\omega^2 - 1 + \frac{2}{\pi L\omega}\sin\pi L\omega \right),$$

$$M_{(2)} = \frac{6\pi^2 L\omega^2}{5(1 - L^2\omega^2)^2\sin^2\frac{\pi L\omega}{2}} \left[(4 - L^2\omega^2)(1 - L^2\omega^2) - \frac{6L\omega}{\pi}\sin\pi L\omega \right],$$
(21)

and

$$Q_{N(1)} = \frac{2\pi^2}{3L\omega\cos^2\frac{\pi L\omega}{2}} \left(L^2\omega^2 - 1 + \frac{L^2\omega^2 + 1}{\pi L\omega}\sin\pi L\omega \right),$$
(22)
$$Q_{N(2)} = \frac{6\pi^2 L\omega}{5(1 - L^2\omega^2)^2\sin^2\frac{\pi L\omega}{2}} \left[(4 - L^2\omega^2)(1 - L^2\omega^2) - \frac{(4 + L^2\omega^2 + L^4\omega^4)}{\pi L\omega}\sin\pi L\omega \right].$$

One can prove that for a general multipolar distribution, the mass is the sum of a Noether charge contribution and a term which can be expressed as a sum of multipolar momenta,

$$M = \omega Q_N + \sum_{k \ge 1} s_k^2 \frac{2k(k+1)\pi}{(2k+1)L} \mathfrak{m}^{(k)} .$$
(23)

For the rest of this work, we shall restrict our study to the case k = 1, which captures already most of the generic features, and work in units with L = 1. In Figure 1 we display the profile of the radial amplitude $R_1(r)$ for several value of ω (left panel), together with the mass and Noether charge as a function of frequency (right panel). There one notices the existence of a set of *critical* frequencies

$$\omega_c^{(n)} = \frac{2n+1}{L},\tag{24}$$

with n a positive integer. The radial amplitude $R_1(r)$ possesses n-1 nodes for $\omega_c^{(n)} < \omega < \omega_c^{(n+1)}$, e.g. it is nodeless for $0 \le \omega < 3/L$.

Both the mass and the Noether charge diverge as $\omega \to \omega_c^{(n)}$ - Figure 1 (right panel) This divergence is a consequence of imposing the radial amplitude to approach a nonvanishing value at infinity. In fact, the solutions with $\omega = \omega_c^{(n)}$ form a separate set with some special properties. In particular, if the radial amplitude vanishes both at r = 0 and at infinity, the mass and charge become finite with $R_1^{(n)}(r)$ possessing n-1 nodes. From the general expression (15) (with $c_0 = 1$) one finds

$$R_1^{(n)}(r) = \frac{r^2}{L^2} \frac{\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} s_m^{(n)}(\frac{r}{L})^{2m}}{(1 + \frac{r^2}{L^2})^{(2n+1)/2}},$$
(25)

where $s_0^{(n)} = 1$, $s_1^{(1)} = -3/5$, $s_2^{(1)} = -2$, $s_2^{(2)} = 3/7$, etc. The mass of these solutions is $M_{(1)}^{(n)} = \frac{3\pi^2}{2L} \frac{(n-1)!}{(n+1)!}$, while $Q_{N(1)}^{(n)} = \frac{L}{2n+1} M_{(1)}^{(n)}$.

4 Including the backreaction

Similar to the zero frequency limit, Refs. [3]- [6], the AdS complex vector clouds discussed above should possess extensions in the full model (3), *i.e.* when taking into account the backreaction on the spacetime geometry.

4.1 A perturbative approach

In the perturbative construction of the axially symmetric EcM solutions, it is convenient to consider a generalization of the pure AdS line element (9) with three unknown functions U_i ,

$$ds^{2} = -N(r)\mathcal{U}_{1}(r,\theta)dt^{2} + \frac{\mathcal{U}_{2}(r,\theta)}{N(r)}dr^{2} + \mathcal{U}_{3}(r,\theta)r^{2}(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}),$$
(26)

while the expression of the vector potential, up to order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$, is

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_{\mu} dx^{\mu} = \Phi(r, \theta) e^{-i\omega t} d\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(r, \theta) = \epsilon \Phi^{(1)}(r, \theta) + \epsilon^3 \Phi^{(3)}(r, \theta) + \dots , \qquad (27)$$

where $\Phi^{(1)}(r,\theta)$ is a linear vector on AdS studied in the previous Section and ϵ is an infinitesimally small parameter³. The backreaction of the vector field on the geometry is taken into account by considering the following ansatz for the metric functions

$$\mathcal{U}_i(r,\theta) = 1 + \epsilon^2 q_{i2}(r,\theta) + \epsilon^4 q_{i4}(r,\theta) + \cdots .$$
(28)

³Formally, one can add a $\epsilon^2 \Phi^{(2)}(r, \theta)$ -term in the expansion (27). However, $\Phi^{(2)}$ solves the same equation as $\Phi^{(1)}$ and can be set to zero.

Then the coupled EcM field equations are solved order by order in ϵ , the free constants which enter the solution being fixed by imposing regularity at r = 0 and standard AdS asymptotics.

To illustrate this procedure, we consider the backreaction on the geometry of a magnetic dipole cloud, *i.e.* the solution of eq. (14) with k = 1. To lowest order, the θ -dependence in \mathcal{U}_i is factorized by using the following consistent ansatz

$$q_{i2}(r,\theta) = a_i(r) + b_i(r)P_2(\cos\theta), \qquad (29)$$

Also, a residual (metric) gauge freedom is used to set $a_3 = 0$. Then the Einstein equations can be solved to find the expression of the remaining functions $a_i(r)$, $b_i(r)$.

Unfortunately, their expression for generic $\omega > 0$ is extremely complicated. However, a simple enough form is found for $\omega = 0$ [4,5], and for $\omega = (2n+1)/L$, with $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ For example, the solution with $\omega = 3/L$ (in which case one takes $\Phi^{(1)}(r, \theta) = \frac{r^2}{L^2} \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{N(r)^{3/2}}$) reads

$$a_{1}(r) = -\frac{L\left(3L^{2}r + 5Lr^{3} + 9(L^{2} + r^{2})^{2} \arctan(r/L)\right)}{12r(L^{2} + r^{2})^{3}}, \quad b_{1}(r) = \frac{2r^{2}(L^{4} + 5L^{2}r^{2} - 2r^{4})}{3(L^{2} + r^{2})^{4}},$$

$$a_{2}(r) = \frac{L\left(Lr^{3} - 9L^{3}r + 9(L^{2} + r^{2})^{2} \arctan(r/L)\right)}{12r(L^{2} + r^{2})^{3}},$$

$$b_{2}(r) = \frac{2r^{2}(7L^{4} - 31L^{2}r^{2} + 4r^{4})}{3(L^{2} + r^{2})^{4}}, \quad b_{3}(r) = \frac{2r^{2}(7L^{2} - 2r^{2})}{3(L^{2} + r^{2})^{3}}.$$
(30)

To this order, the total mass of solutions, computed by using the same approach as with the non-perturbative case below, reads

$$M = \frac{3\pi\epsilon^2}{16GL} \,. \tag{31}$$

In computing the ϵ^3 -correction to the gauge potential induced by the deformation of the AdS background, one takes the following decomposition

$$\Phi^{(3)}(r,\theta) = \phi^{(3,1)}(r)U_1(\theta) + \phi^{(3,2)}(r)U_3(\theta),$$
(32)

with $U_k(\theta)$ defined by eq. (13). The radial functions above are found by solving the eq. (6) with the assumption of regularity, which results in

$$\phi^{(3,1)}(r) = \frac{1}{(L^2 + r^2)^{3/2}} \left[\arctan\left(\frac{r}{L}\right) \left(\frac{3L^6 + 21L^4r^2 + 63L^2r^4 + 9r^6}{4Lr(L^2 + r^2)} + 9r^2 \arctan\left(\frac{r}{L}\right) \right) - \frac{720L^{10} - 411L^8r^2 + 1364L^6r^4 + 17662L^4r^6 + 9476L^3r^8 + 3525r^{10}}{960(L^2 + r^2)^4} \right], \quad (33)$$

$$\phi^{(3,2)}(r) = -\frac{r^4(105L^6 - 61L^4r^2 + 27L^2r^4 + r^6}{160L(L^2 + r^2)^{11/2}}.$$

The computation can be extended to higher order in ϵ ; however, the corresponding expressions become very complicated and not enlightening, *per se*.

4.2 Nonperturbative solutions

Fully non-linear AdS-solitons are obtained by directly solving numerically the equations (4), (6). In what follows, we shall employ the Einstein–De Turck (EDT) approach, proposed in [12–14] in which case, instead of (4), one solves the so called EDT equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \nabla_{(\mu}\xi_{\nu)} = -\frac{3}{L^2}g_{\mu\nu} + 2\left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Tg_{\mu\nu}\right) .$$
(34)

Here, ξ^{μ} is a vector defined as $\xi^{\mu} \equiv g^{\nu\rho}(\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} - \bar{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho})$, where $\Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated to the spacetime metric g that one wants to determine, and a reference metric \bar{g} is introduced, $\bar{\Gamma}^{\mu}_{\nu\rho}$ being the corresponding Levi-Civita connection. Solutions to (34) also solve the Einstein equations iff $\xi^{\mu} \equiv 0$ everywhere. To achieve this, we impose boundary conditions which are compatible with $\xi^{\mu} = 0$ on the boundary of the domain of integration. Then, this should imply $\xi^{\mu} \equiv 0$ everywhere, a condition which is verified from the numerical output.

In our approach, we use a metric ansatz with five unknown functions, $\{\mathcal{F}_0, \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \mathcal{F}_3, S_1\}$,

$$ds^{2} = -\mathcal{F}_{0}(x,\theta) \frac{T_{0}(x)^{2}}{T_{1}(x)^{2}} dt^{2} + \mathcal{F}_{1}(x,\theta) \frac{dx^{2}}{T_{1}(x)^{2}} + \mathcal{F}_{2}(x,\theta) \frac{x^{2}}{T_{1}(x)^{2}} \left[d\theta + \frac{S_{1}(x,\theta)}{x} dx \right]^{2} + \mathcal{F}_{3}(x,\theta) \frac{x^{2} \sin^{2} \theta}{T_{1}(x)^{2}} d\varphi^{2} , \quad \text{where} \quad T_{0}(x) = \frac{1+x^{2}}{2L}, \quad T_{1}(x) = \frac{1-x^{2}}{2L} , \quad (35)$$

with $0 \le x \le 1$. Setting $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_3 = 1$, $S_1 = 0$ results in global AdS metric written in horospherical coordinates, which can be obtained by taking $r = 2L\frac{x}{1-x^2}$ in the line element (9), providing the obvious reference metric \bar{g} .

The matter Ansatz is still given by (10), with a single (magnetic) potential $\Phi(x, \theta)$. As such, the EDT equations (34) together with vector field equations (6) result in a set of six elliptic partial differential equations which are solved numerically as a boundary value problem. The boundary conditions are found by constructing an approximate form of the solutions on the boundary of the domain of integration compatible with regularity of the solutions and the requirement $\xi^{\mu} = 0$.

In what follows we shall present results for simplest k = 1, n = 0 case, associated with the (probe limit) first branch in Figure 1. There the boundary conditions we impose are

$$\Phi|_{x=0} = 0, \ \partial_{\theta} \Phi|_{\theta=0,\pi} = 0, \ \Phi|_{x=1} = c_0 \sin^2 \theta, \tag{36}$$

(with $c_0 > 0$ an input parameter) for the magnetic potential, and

$$\partial_x \mathcal{F}_i \big|_{x=0} = \partial_x S_1 \big|_{x=0} = 0, \quad \partial_\theta \mathcal{F}_i \big|_{\theta=0,\pi} = S_1 \big|_{\theta=0,\pi} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_i \big|_{x=1} = 1, \quad S_1 \big|_{x=1} = 0,$$

for the metric functions, with i = 0, 1, 2, 3. Moreover, we shall assume that the solutions are symmetric w.r.t. a reflection in the equatorial plane, which implies that the functions \mathcal{F}_i and Φ satisfy Neumann boundary conditions at $\theta = \pi/2$, while S_1 vanishes there.

While the Noether charge is still given by (8), the computation of the mass M of the solutions is more complicated. In what follows, M is computed by employing the boundary

counterterm approach in [15], being the conserved charges associated with Killing symmetry ∂_t of the induced boundary metric. This results in the following expression of the mass:

$$M = -\frac{3L}{8G} \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin \theta (f_{23}(\theta) + f_{33}(\theta)),$$

where $f_{23}(\theta)$, $f_{33}(\theta)$ are two functions which enter the asymptotic form of the metric functions, $\mathcal{F}_2 = 1 + f_{23}(\theta)(1-x)^3 + \ldots$, $\mathcal{F}_3 = 1 + f_{33}(\theta)(1-x)^3 + \ldots$ (also $\mathcal{F}_0 = 1 - (f_{23}(\theta) + f_{33}(\theta))(1-x)^3 + \ldots$), being found from the numerical output.



Figure 2: The mass and the Noether charge of the gravitating generalization of the scalar clouds with k = 1, n = 0 are plotted as a function of frequency ω (left panel) and as a function of the (maximal) magnitude of the magnetic potential at infinity c_0 (right panel).

In this approach, the only input parameters are the field frequency ω , the asymptotic magnitude c_0 of the vector potential in the equatorial plane and the cosmological length scale L, which we take L = 1. The equations are discretized in an equidistant (x, θ) -grid, with (usually) around 250×50 points. Using the Newton-Raphson approach, the resulting system is solved iteratively until convergence is achieved ⁴. Also, the typical numerical error is estimated to be of the order of 10^{-5} , except for the secondary branches of solutions (see below).

⁴We have employed a finite difference method, with a sixth order for the difference formulae.

Fixing the boundary data at x = 1, *i.e.* the parameter c_0 in (36), and increasing the value of frequency, a branch of solutions smoothly emerges from any static Einstein-Maxwell soliton. Along this branch, both mass and Noether charge increase with ω , see Figure 2 (left panel). These solutions stop to exist for some critical frequency, which decreases with increasing c_0 (being always smaller than $\omega_c^{(1)}$ as defined by (24). There a new branch of solutions emerges, extending backwards in ω , the maximal value of mass being approached along this branch. For any c_0 , this (secondary) branch stops to exist for another critical value of the frequency. We mention that the numerical accuracy decreases significantly along this branch; however, as with the usual boson stars [7], we expect the occurrence of (other) secondary branches of solutions, with an inspiraling behaviour of the curve $M(\omega)$.

Interestingly, the picture obtained for fixed ω and increasing c_0 resembles the situation above. Again, the solutions with $\omega > 0$ stop to exist for a maximal c_0 , where a secondary branch of solutions extending backwards in c_0 emerges.

5 Further remarks. Conclusions

The main purpose of this work was to show that the D = 4 Einstein gravity with negative cosmological constant minimally coupled to complex Maxwell field allows for boson-star-like solitonic solutions, which are static and axially symmetric. This is a consequence of endowing the vector potentials with a specific harmonic time dependence, which, however, is not present in the total energy-momentum tensor. The considered model corresponds to the massless limit of the Einstein-complex-Proca-AdS theory. Given some appropriate boundary data at infinity, the EcM solitonic solutions depend on a single input parameter, which is the field frequency, with two global charges, the mass and the total number of particles (the Noether charge). Their existence can be traced back to the "box"-like behaviour of the AdS spacetime⁵. No analogue configurations exist for an asymptotically flat spacetime

The work here has restricted to the simplest ansatz with a single magnetic potential⁶. However, we expect the same model to possess a variety of other more complicated solutions. In particular, following the Refs. [6,16], we predict the existence of boson-star-like configurations that have no continuous spatial symmetries.

A question which arises naturally is if one can add a small black hole (BH) at the center of the solitons considered in this work. This is indeed the case for the $\omega = 0$ solutions, where in addition to the (magnetic) Reissner-Nordström-AdS BHs, one also finds static BHs solutions with an arbitrary multipole structure, including configurations that have no continuous spatial

⁵It is interesting to contrast the situation with that found for a model with a massless, non-self-interacting, complex scalar field (*i.e.* two real scalars). Again, the scalar clouds on a fixed AdS background can be found in closed form, for any multipole moment. However, in contrast to the vector case, they possess finite charges for a discrete set of frequencies $\omega \sim 1/L > 0$, only, without a static limit. The nonlinear continuation of these solutions corresponds to AdS (scalar) boson stars (note that only spherically symmetric solutions have been studied so far [10]).

⁶We mention that, as with the Maxwell case, one can consider a dual description of the solutions in this work. The corresponding ansatz is more complicated, with both electric and magnetic potentials, $\mathcal{A} = (iV(r,\theta)dt + H_1(r,\theta)dr + H_2(r,\theta)d\theta)e^{-i\omega t}$, where V, H_1 and H_2 are real functions determined by Φ .

symmetries⁷. Although we do not have a rigorous proof, the situation seems to be different for configurations with $\omega \neq 0$. Some hints in this direction follow from a study of the eqs. (6) in a fixed static BH background. There one assumes the existence of a power series expansion for $\Phi(r,\theta)$ in the vicinity the event horizon, $\Phi(r,\theta) = \sum_{p\geq 0} \phi_p(\theta)(r-r_H)^p$ (with $r = r_H > 0$ the event horizon radius). Then, when replacing in eq. (6), it follows that the coefficients ϕ_p vanish order by order. This is essentially the picture found for configurations with (massive, complex) scalar [9, 17, 18], or vector [19] fields endowed with a harmonic time dependence and a static geometry, and a rigorous non-existence proof could be found in those cases. However, we predict the existence of BHs solutions with $\omega \neq 0$ for a more general framework allowing for rotation [19], or by generalizing the action (3) for a model with a U(1)-gauged complex vector field and extending the construction in [20, 21].

Returning to the general EcM case, it would be interesting to find a generalization of the action (1) within a gauged supergravity model. A truncation of the 11-dimensional supergravity that leads to the D = 4 Einstein- $U(1)^2$ action with negative cosmological constant is discussed in Ref. [22]. However, the two Maxwell fields there are subject to a constraint which is not satisfied by the solutions in this work. A different setting is provided by the N = 4 gauged $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ supergravity [23] which contains two (non-Abelian) gauge fields with the same coupling with a dilaton and an axion. However, in this case the dilaton field possesses a Liouville potential which does not allow for an AdS vacuum.

Acknowledgements

The work of C.R. and E.R. is supported by the Center for Research and Development in Mathematics and Applications (CIDMA) through the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia) through projects: UIDB/04106/2020 (DOI identifier https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/04106/2020); UIDP/04106/2020 (DOI identifier https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/04106/2020); PTDC/FIS-AST/3041/2020 (DOI identifier http://doi.org/10.54499/PTDC/FIS-AST/3041/2020); CERN/FIS-PAR/0024/2021 (DOI identifier http://doi.org/10.54499/CERN/FIS-PAR/0024/2021); and 2022.04560.PTDC (DOI identifier https://doi.org/10.54499/2022.04560.PTDC). This work has further been supported by the European Horizon Europe staff exchange (SE) programme HORIZON-MSCA2021-SE-01 Grant No. NewFunFiCO101086251. We also garatefully acknowledge support by DFG project Ku612/18-1 and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) Grant No. 12205123 and Jiangxi Provincial Natu- ral Science Foundation with Grant No. 20232BAB211029 and by the Sino-German (CSC- DAAD) Postdoc Scholarship Program, No. 2021 (57575640)

References

 J. M. Maldacena, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 38 (1999) 1113 [Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2 (1998) 231] [hep-th/9711200].

⁷This corresponds to considering the magnetic duals of the BHs with an electric potential in Ref. [6].

- [2] R. Ruffini and J. A. Wheeler, Phys. Today **24** (1971) no.1, 30
- [3] C. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Phys. Lett. B **749** (2015) 393 [arXiv:1507.04370 [gr-qc]].
- [4] M. S. Costa, L. Greenspan, M. Oliveira, J. Penedones and J. E. Santos, Class. Quant. Grav. 33 (2016) no.11, 115011 [arXiv:1511.08505 [hep-th]].
- [5] C. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Phys. Lett. B **757** (2016) 268 [arXiv:1602.06990 [gr-qc]].
- [6] C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) no.22, 221102 [arXiv:1606.02302 [gr-qc]].
- [7] S. L. Liebling and C. Palenzuela, Living Rev. Rel. 26 (2023) no.1, 1 [arXiv:1202.5809 [gr-qc]].
- [8] M. Duarte and R. Brito, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) no.6, 064055 [arXiv:1609.01735 [gr-qc]].
- [9] D. Astefanesei and E. Radu, Nucl. Phys. B 665 (2003), 594-622 [arXiv:gr-qc/0309131 [gr-qc]].
- [10] A. Buchel, S. L. Liebling and L. Lehner, Phys. Rev. D 87 (2013) no.12, 123006 [arXiv:1304.4166 [gr-qc]].
- [11] R. Brito, V. Cardoso, C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Phys. Lett. B 752 (2016), 291-295 [arXiv:1508.05395 [gr-qc]].
- [12] M. Headrick, S. Kitchen and T. Wiseman, Class. Quant. Grav. 27 (2010) 035002 [arXiv:0905.1822 [gr-qc]].
- [13] A. Adam, S. Kitchen and T. Wiseman, Class. Quant. Grav. 29 (2012) 165002 [arXiv:1105.6347 [gr-qc]].
- [14] T. Wiseman, arXiv:1107.5513 [gr-qc].
- [15] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, Commun. Math. Phys. 208 (1999) 413 [hep-th/9902121].
- [16] C. A. R. Herdeiro, J. Kunz, I. Perapechka, E. Radu and Y. Shnir, Phys. Lett. B 812 (2021), 136027 [arXiv:2008.10608 [gr-qc]].
- [17] I. Pena and D. Sudarsky, Class. Quant. Grav. 14 (1997), 3131-3134
- [18] S. Yazadjiev and D. Doneva, [arXiv:2401.13288 [gr-qc]].
- [19] C. Herdeiro, E. Radu and H. Rúnarsson, Class. Quant. Grav. 33 (2016) no.15, 154001 [arXiv:1603.02687 [gr-qc]].
- [20] I. Salazar Landea and F. García, Phys. Rev. D 94 (2016) no.10, 104006 [arXiv:1608.00011 [hep-th]].
- [21] C. A. R. Herdeiro and E. Radu, Eur. Phys. J. C 80 (2020) no.5, 390 [arXiv:2004.00336 [gr-qc]].
- [22] D. Marolf and J. E. Santos, Class. Quant. Grav. 38 (2021) no.22, 224002 [arXiv:2101.08875 [hep-th]].
- [23] D. Z. Freedman and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B 137 (1978), 333-339